A transcript for
The Silicon Valley Leadership Group
"Projections 2010: Leadership California"
Panel Discussion Four of Five
Marshall Kilduff, Moderator
Held at Santa Clara University Louis B. Mayer Theatre
September 16, 2009

Panel members in order introduced:

Gavin Newsom, Mayor of San Francisco Aart J. de Geus, CEO, Synopsys Ken McNeely, California President, AT&T Ellen Moir, Executive Director, University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) New Teacher Center

Mr. Guardino:

...Welcome. To introduce this panel, the sponsor of this panel, Bank of America's market president here in Silicon Valley, and a member of the Leadership Group board of directors, Raquel Gonzalez. Raquel?

Ms. Gonzalez:

Thank you, Carl. Good morning. Our next...panel is on education and workforce preparedness. As you know, these issues have been a big part of the Leadership Group's agenda, for many reasons, but particularly because Silicon Valley companies, employees, and community value them so much.

10 11 12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

But before we get to the panel, I wanted to introduce the moderator, Marshall Kilduff. Marshall is the chief editorial writer for the San Francisco Chronicle, where he has been a career journalist, spending the past ten years as an editorial writer. He is the author of *Suicide Cult*, a book on the People's Temple and its leader, the Reverend Jim Jones. Ladies and gentlemen, Marshall Kilduff.

16 17 18

19

20

Mr. Kilduff:

I want to promise you I have something much more cheery to talk about than my book, so don't get me wrong. And I did want to make sure that, before we left, everyone signed a statement that they will *not* go to a 49ers game in Santa Clara. (comments)

21 22 23

24

25

26

Anyway, we have about forty-five minutes to cover a topic that could obviously take a week, two weeks, maybe months. We have numerous topics on finance and governance, pre-K, teacher training, the lot, and we're going to try to get through this as quickly as we can with our four panelists. I believe you can see their names, and they're in your program, so I won't bother to reject the parel to the panel to

introduce them, but I thought I'd begin with Mayor Newsom, who is, in fact, a declared

Democratic candidate for governor, not like the one two panels ago.

Q: And I wanted to begin by asking you, [with] a lot of bad news in education, including even this morning's test scores, declining financial support, the lot. What would *you* do? What are...real ideas that a guy in Sacramento can carry through and achieve in improving education?

A: (Mayor Newsom) Well, just cut right into it! I'll tell you what we've done in San Francisco, to give you a sense of what I would do as governor of the state of California. I believe not just in public-private partnerships. I believe in public-public partnerships, and that's something we've not focused enough on, in terms of public education.

The City and County of San Francisco does not run its school district. It's independently-elected. It's independently governed, and the vast majority of the money comes from outside of the city's own general-fund budget.

But nonetheless, we've taken some responsibility to addressing the needs of our public-school kids by building a partnership. We call it a partnership for achievement, a memorandum of understanding to anchor the fate and future of our kids and the future of the City and County of San Francisco. We focus on universal preschool. The only county in the United States—notably, the only county in the state of California, one of the few in the United States—with universal preschool. We put arts back into every public school. Comprehensive arts education. Every child, every classroom, K-12, the city is fully funding it. We put wellness centers back into our high schools and are now putting them in the middle schools to deal with adolescent physical health needs as well as mental health needs, and we've created a framework, a partnership, that guarantees the opportunity of a four-year college education for every single sixth-grader. Last year, we gave certificates of guarantee, in partnership with the California State University System, guaranteeing the opportunity of placement, the opportunity of support, financial aid and otherwise, for every one of our sixth-graders so that we can have a career path, a path of excellence, that moves beyond just the twelfth grade to a four-year college education. It's those partnerships that I'm arguing for. It's the ability to reconcile those differences county by county, city by city, that we need to be advancing in terms of public-education reform.

Mr. Kilduff:

If I could just follow real fast. As governor, what can you really *do* in those kinds of respects? I mean school boards, by and large, run the school system, but there's a state superintendent of schools, not the governor.

 A: (Mayor Newsom) Yeah, but you know, the governor has convening functions and convening powers. I remember, a number of months back, the mayor of Fresno, Gene Autry and I, came together, and we said, "What can we do?" And we said, "We're going to focus on dropouts as a priority." He called his friend, Governor Schwarzenegger, and said, "Let's have a conference." The first time the governor had organized mayors and superintendents from across the state.

The most damning thing, Marshall, the most ominous thing, as God is my witness, was people were introducing themselves, not from different cities, but from within their cities, from different jurisdictions, meaning mayors and superintendents hadn't even *met* one another. The bar is that low in terms of what a governor can do, to begin to create a different framework and engagement in terms of building these partnerships, and building capacity. I can get into much more detail but, in broad strokes, let us not allow the next governor to abdicate responsibility to bring people together and begin to reconcile those jurisdictional differences.

Mr. Kilduff:

Thanks. Let me ask you, Aart. I know you have a very dramatic view of what needs to be done to the local schools. Can you talk a little about what your feelings are?

A: (**Dr. de Geus**) Yeah. You know, if I look at it as if I were the CEO of education of California, typically, I would look at a company [in terms of], "What are the resources? What are the results? And what is the management system?" The resources is the funding, the incoming student crop, so to speak, a raw resource. The teacher is the infrastructure. If you look at the results, you've already stated it. California, seventh from the bottom in math in eighth grade, third from the bottom in reading in eighth grade in the United States. The United States, by the way, about fortieth now in math and science of the developed countries. So results are horrendous, right?

The input, you can say, "Well, are we spending enough? We are spending less than some of the other states. More than some others." And there are plenty of studies that say, "Resources, financially, [are] not the driving point yet. Therefore, it's the management system." So I'd say, "Well, let's look at the CEO of the educational system." Who the hell is that? There is no CEO of the educational system. I know there are commissioners, and whatever they're called, but, to be a CEO, you need to have both responsibility *and power*.

And so the power notion is completely missing, and if you now add to that a history in which about thirty years or so ago, with prop 13, the funding mechanism fundamentally got disturbed, and then reset all in Sacramento, and so the money trickles mostly down, but the local situations are very different from school to school. And so the money is starting to trickle down with more and more regulations and more and more will-intended fixes —

Mr. Kilduff:

If I could step in. That sounds like a very valid outsider's critique, but how...do you get this done inside a very elaborate system? What can anyone here or –

Dr. de Geus:

110 You cannot.

Mr. Kilduff:

-- (unintelligible) in Sacramento (unintelligible) rebuilding it?

A: (**Dr. de Geus**) My point is, if Gavin is going to be the CEO of the state, he cannot be the CEO of education, because he's going to have too much to do, because there are at least three or four of similar-magnitude crises. But he has to appoint a CEO of education that is empowered, not by incremental legislative this and that, incremental patch-and-fix here and there, but [by] a fundamental redesign of the architecture. Now, at some point in time, when you keep amending your house (applause), you cannot fix it.

Mr. Kilduff:

Thank you. Well, from a revolutionary architecture, we go to AT&T. By the way, if your iPhone is not working, talk to this guy after the session.

Q: If I could ask you, Ken, do you feel the same way as the prior speakers, that we're in for a big overhaul, and it's justified? Or are you getting the kind of product from the public schools that make you happy?

A: (Mr. McNeely) No. I absolutely agree with Aart. I think that the current system has created a situation where,...as a large employer in the state, we're simply not able to get the qualified applicants that we need. I think we've lost a generation. I think a system where you're graduating 60-plus percent of high-schoolers, in general, with minority populations, particularly African-American and Latino students, graduating at 50 percent or less, is a failed system. I could never run a business where...I was expected to only release 50 percent of my output out to the market. I mean that is a failed system, and I think it is [the case that] we're ready for a complete overhaul, and I think something has to happen, or businesses are going to go where they can find the talent that they need; and, unfortunately, that may not be in the state of California. It may not be in the United States.

Mr. Kilduff:

Do you have any suggestions about changes you'd like to make?

A: (Mr. McNeely) Well, you know, I think that..., you know, one of the things that...I've talked about before, and Mayor Newsom talked about, with respect to public-public partnerships, I do strongly support public-private partnerships, as well, and I think that we're all in this together. We've all had to create an environment that allows our students, our children, to succeed. And I would actually go a step farther. Much like the City of San Francisco has done, I'm a big proponent of early childhood education. I think we...have students entering Kindergarten ill-prepared to learn, and I think working with our three- and four-year-olds will help us deal with that challenge.

Mr. Kilduff:

Thank you. Ellen, I have a feeling you want to say something equally as sweeping and tough about the state of...education. Please do.

(Ms. Moir) Thank you. I can't wait. This matters so much, and most of us, our children and our grandchildren, they're going to get a good education in the current system. They're not going to get a first-rate education, because we haven't yet figured that out. But the poorest kids in the valley and across California are going to get the lowest level of education, and I think the key is actually what all three of these gentlemen are talking about. Someone has to "own" public education in this state and be aggressive about it. Our school systems, with a school board, and accountability to a board, the CEO reports to six or seven people on a board. Those boards are often lovely, smart people, but dysfunctional. They don't—the superintendent really doesn't— have the impetus and the drive and the focus and the control and the power to transform what's going on in districts. I think the kind of people we're bringing in for principals are not getting the kind of training they need to be both business leaders, to be able to really engage in building human capital in the system, and also know about instruction, and motivate teachers and help them learn.

And finally, I think, we need to do a much better job of recruiting the best teachers, and then giving them the kind of instructional support, professional development, not at four and six o'clock in the evening, not on their weekends, but during the workday. We surely could figure out how to give people professional development while they're employed during the workday. (applause)

Mr. Kilduff:

Do you see anyone...or any cause or campaign that's taken this critique of *yours* and really pushed it forward? Or are these thoughts that have yet to really take hold in the (unintelligible)?

A: (**Ms. Moir**) I'm sorry. My hearing is a little off, and it's hard to hear.

Mr. Kilduff:

... What practical steps do you think need to be taken, to have these changes come about?

A: (Ms. Moir) Right. So I think, first off, we - I'm not sure how to tackle the school-board situation. I think it definitely needs to be thought of. I think that community engagement and having the community engaged and involved and responsible for schools is important, but the way we've built out this architecture is archaic at this point.

So the pieces that I can control and think about are that we have to do a better job in principal-preparation programs to recruit the smartest, best leaders into becoming school principals, and then give them the kind of preparation they need. The same with teachers. Every teacher that comes into Silicon Valley wants to do the best that they can. Against some adverse conditions, they thrive, and then we hammer them because, possibly, their test scores aren't high enough, or their school scores aren't good enough.

In the end of the day, we have to look at how do you measure teacher effectiveness. It has to be beyond just test scores, because sometimes, most times, teachers inspire young people to have

brilliant lives, and we want to make sure we're capturing *those* qualities of what [makes] for an effective, inspiring teacher, as well.

A: (Mayor Newsom) And, Marshall, if I can just sort of pick up on the three points, because I share the similar sentiment. There's a lot of push now in public-education reform to focus on mayoral control of school boards. A lot of takeovers. New York, obviously, Chicago. You've seen versions of it in Boston, other parts of the country, where that's the big focus. We decided, in our particular circumstance, San Francisco, that it was a solution in search of a problem, and that a partnership, as opposed to a takeover, was a better approach; but nonetheless, it goes to the issue of governance. I mean it reminds me –

There was a topic earlier about water. There are two hundred and twenty (220) agencies that deal with water in the state of California. No wonder we can't get anything focused in terms of addressing that issue, and there is that substantive issue here; but again, it comes down to leadership. It comes down to organizing that leadership, and creating a different kind of framework, where we're building capacity.

One way to start, and you've got one thousand and about fifty school districts in the state, at least we can say, within each school district, we're going to take a school, one thousand schools, every single year, and we're going to make those a school of excellence, or we're going to shut them down, and begin to be a ten-year strategy, and, to an extent, you can fast-forward it; but at least, over ten years, you'll have addressed the needs of the thousand-plus. It will become ten thousand, or ninety-eight hundred schools that we have in the state of California. At least you'll have substantively addressed those schools and those issues.

The achievement gap, the opportunity gap, universal preschool, fundamentally, you're going to get serious about Latino and African-American, the achievement gap. You've got to start at the beginning; and again, that's why preschool, to me, is the framework of any recovery in terms of economic reform. And then the issue of higher education, and workforce training, and workforce development – We're not going to out-compete unless we're out-educating, and, to me, the biggest issue in the state of California today is the loss of human capital, and the fact that we're not investing in people as we once were, and that, again, becomes a framework that connects businesses with the public sector in terms of that performance.

Mr. Kilduff:

 You...started to step on my next line, which was, we've been talking about K-12.

Q: I wanted to just talk about higher-ed, and I'll begin with you, again, Mayor Newsom. UC, SCU, community colleges – They seem to be getting whacked every year, [with] programs cut, enrollment problems. And now, UC President Mark Yudof wants two—not one—two tuition increases in the next year or so. What would...you do to resupply whatever these higher-ed institutions need, to take them back on their feet?

A: (Mayor Newsom) Yeah. I mean let me...underscore this. The Milken Institute every single year comes out with a report in terms of human capital, the most precious resource we have. We were the envy of the world. We were number-one in the retention of human capital. I mean we had the best and the brightest. Two years ago, we were fourteenth. Last year, we were sixteenth. Just in 1989, when I was here at Santa Clara University, we were among the top ten. Every single year, it's getting worse and worse and worse. We're not only raising tuition for instate, but we're raising tuition out of state, and so now you have less people coming from overseas to get educated here, and that was the secret sauce, wasn't it? To get folks to come from overseas and get them to stay here so that they open the Googles and the Intels and the Yahoos, and the like So we have to address that

We are simply keeping people away from the opportunity. Community colleges have gone up in the last two years – eight hundred and forty million dollars (\$840 million) in cuts. The tuition? Twenty to twenty-six dollars. I meet people all the time that can't afford that tuition increase. They're capping types of classes. The class sizes are increasing, to Tom Campbell's point. This is serious. CSU: You want to get serious about their nurses, and the need to educate teachers, the backbone of our economy. CSU: Those tuition increases are through the roof as well as UC. This cannot happen. It's at our *peril* that that continues, and that needs to ?turn around?.

Mr. Kilduff:

So what would you...do, to get this (unintelligible)?

A: (Mayor. Newsom) You simply don't raise – you simply don't make those cuts in higher education. To wit, you were going to ask, "Well, how do you pay for that?" Which I understand, and that's a question of priorities. You know, the fact that we're doing universal preschool and afterschool, and guaranteed four-year college in San Francisco – We didn't raise taxes to do it. We used general fund because we value these things, and we re-prioritized things. It's a question of what you value, and the next governor needs to value higher education more substantively, not just rhetorically. (applause)

Mr. Kilduff:

I want to go down the line and ask the other three of you revolutionaries how you feel about the same topic, higher ed.

Q: How do you pay for a system that seems to need a lot more dough to operate,...even at a modest level. Aart?

A: (**Dr. de Geus**) I think you can't. There is not enough money right now, and so I think every one of the topics touched today, from education to health to water – every single one needs more investments. That doesn't add up. It's that simple. It does not add up. Therefore, efficiency is what's going to be the driver, moving forward. You cannot fix it unless you systematically go after working how it's managed, where the costs are, and where are we going to cut some of the benefits that are attached to having a great environment?

Well, whenever you say that, that sounds negative, but you can also say, "Now, let's first understand which ones are the most important things that we have to do right," which is work back from the workforce that's needed, and the workforce clearly needs a lot of math, science, plus, plus, the stem...categories, in order to create the wealth in the state in the first place. And I think it's a good place to start with the higher education, because it's the shortest pipeline between doing something and having a workforce that actually impacts things. I think the preschool is just as important, but it's twenty-three years before you see the results, right? And so I think that the university system will need to do the same [thing] that pretty much every company in Silicon Valley, ?as a matter of? in the United State, as a matter of fact, in the...world is doing right now, which is a massive look at efficiency.

 And that will bring back the question of how is it managed, where is it successful, where are the so many [mechanisms] where there's no accountability. And, in the education system, specifically, there's an enormous amount of imbalance, in my opinion, in terms of top-down regulations versus bottom-up accounting. And the best way to fix that is actually by measuring the results, and then work back to what worked and what didn't. But you have to localize the accountability, whereas you have to globalize the feedback loop, the measurement system.

Mr. Kilduff:

 Thank you. Ken, the same question.

 Q: You're president of the UC, or you're the governor. What would you do to keep things healthy?

A: (Mr. McNeely) I agree with Aart. I mean I think you – One of the fundamental things you have to do is squeeze all of the inefficiencies out of the system. You've got to look at the savings. You have to look...for all the—any—excess that's in...the system now. But first, you have to make it a priority. Education has to be a significant priority for any elected official and policy-makers. You know, it is the...number-one investment that you can make in this state that's going to give you the highest...degree of return. You know, these are potential citizens. These are potential employees. These are potential taxpayers that we...have to cultivate, that we want to stay in our state; and we have to make an investment in...our kids.

Mr. Kilduff:

 Thanks. Ellen, your thoughts: higher ed?

A: **(Ms. Moir)** Well, I agree with what you've just said, as well, but I want to take a bit of a different perspective for a second. I want to look at universal preschool. I think that is just absolutely critical, but you-all know that we pay preschool teachers peanuts. We have to build out a profession that starts from the time a young child is born, and build the kind of infrastructure we need to support preschool kids to be successful.

In addition to that, I want to comment on the tragedy that we see in this state right now. I'll try and come up with a solution or two, but the tragedy is, you have young people preparing for college, and they are getting there with fewer courses, fewer professors, and, you know, faculty that are discouraged because of furlough days and what-not. We have to prioritize education in this state, and,...as Aart is suggesting, we have to look at what are those inefficiencies in the system, and how do we — Who is responsible? And how do we model for young people in California and in the valley the importance of a high-quality education, so they can be workforce ready? I think that what we're seeing in this state right now. it's shame on us, as the adults in California, and in the country.

Mr. Kilduff:

- Thanks. If I could stay with you, Ellen, for a minute. You've devoted your career to training, retaining teachers, public-ed teachers.
- **Q**: What is the mood right now among the recruits you talk to? Is it, "I'm crazy to go into teaching because of the financial uncertainties"? Or is it still a noble calling that people respond to?
- A: (Ms. Moir) So I work with young teachers primarily, all across America, but let's just look in the valley, and in the county, in Santa Cruz County, where we have instructional support for new teachers. That's the work of the New Teacher Center and the Silicon Valley New Teacher Project. And what we're seeing is, it's quite discouraging, of young people who want to teach. They come in, they get their teaching credential, they...taught last year, and now, this year, they have no jobs.

So there is some sense of young people who do see this as a calling, who want to make a difference, that, you know, there really aren't the kind of jobs. I think there's quite a bit of cynicism among professional educators in this state at this point. There's a lot of top-down things coming in; but, in the end, we need to move performance from "good" to "very good" to "excellent." We need that kind of rich feedback loop of continuous improvement. That's what teachers are hungry for, and that's the kind of modeling we should be doing for teachers in the field.

We can still keep – Look at Teach for America in this country. They've never had so many applicants apply to their programs. *We* can get young people to be teachers today, but we have to figure out how to build the right kind of governance and infrastructure that supports their growth and development.

Mr. Kilduff:

Thank you. If I could come back to you, Mayor Newsom. The issue of school governance. You mentioned the multitude of water agencies, how many – Let's just use that as an example.

Q: But is there something a governor can do to speed up this bureaucracy, to override it, to get his or her ideas put into place? Or is this a pretty tough challenge to...sit in Sacramento and try to effect change?

A: (Mayor Newsom) I mean I think you could do it constitutionally. I mean you certainly can reorganize through a constitutional frame work. Or you can just, again, create the conditions where you build that capacity, build that partnership, and, just through the force of will and leadership, convening function and the like, get people on the same page, and get the people of the state of California demanding that they're on the same page, and set those conditions, and set them forward. That's what we did in our city, and I think you know this.

We are now the top-performing urban school district in the state of California – eight years in a row of improved test scores. We're starting to finally see some improvement in terms of the achievement gap. We're doing real investments with the city general fund in professional development. We gave our teachers a raise last year through a parcel tax, and the raise went like this. Five thousand dollars (\$5,000) across-the-board raise, but then an additional bonus if you teach in hard-to-teach subjects, math and science, and under-performing schools. And if you get more professional-development work, up to another five thousand dollars (\$5,000) in bonuses.

We initiated something as simple as this. A teacher-recognition program and award. A principal-recognition program and award. And we're investing in professional development for principals, as well. We didn't have to put up much money to do those things, but they're paying great dividends. I'm making the argument, Marshall, that the bar is extraordinarily low, in terms of where we are. And so I don't want to overstate leadership, but I do believe that, in the absence of it, we got what we have today, and I think things will dramatically improve by a more-robust focus and commitment on education reform in the state.

Mr. Kilduff:

it...coming out.

Thanks.

Q: Ken, if I could ask you a little more about pre-K. We talked about improving programs – higher-ed, K-12, but there's, in a way, this wilderness of pre-K. What...in your mind does it involve? Will it be very expensive? Will it trouble parents who worry about, you know, in a way, losing control of their kids to some faceless ?and put? all the health-care kind of stuff in a funny way, backing into this, but...talk a little about pre-K, the work you've done, and how you see

A: (Mr. McNeely) Sure, Marshall. And...I'm absolutely passionate about...preschool, and our focus on three- and four-year-olds, and I'm really trying to get the business community excited about that, because I do think I look for a great investment. I mean this is a great investment opportunity. I think the...upfront costs are relatively low, but the...output, the return on that investment, is huge. I mean we're looking at situations, particularly in communities of color, where we have three- and four-year-olds entering Kindergarten unprepared to be able to

read, to be able to socialize in their environment, and so I believe it's absolutely critical for us to get to these kids at an early age, and work on these deficiencies. I think universal preschool, like the city of San Francisco has done, is an option. I think...programs that allow, particularly focused—focusing—on underserved communities, communities of color, are absolutely critical to get these kids socialized, to get them engaged, and to get them learning-ready for Kindergarten.

Mr. Kilduff:

Thank you. Aart, I know your company, along with a lot of other tech companies, is invested in science, math, engineering, the like.

Q: Can you talk a little about your experience with those programs? Have they made a genuine difference, in your mind? Or are they just tinkering on the edges of what needs to be done? How successful is it? What's your experience or feeling?

A: (**Dr. de Geus**) Well, on the one hand, I'm incredibly proud. On the other hand, I'm completely distressed about it, because proud – You know, ten years ago or so, we realized, "Hey, math and science in Silicon Valley, the center of gravity of high tech, is going down. What can we do?"

And so we took over the science fairs and moved them from a few thousand kids participating to, I think, a hundred and forty thousand a year now. But I learned a couple of things. The first is that it's not all about finding little Einsteins. It is making sure that every kid—and that could start as preschool, by the way—understands this can be fun. It can be me.

But most interesting is when you give out the awards or whatever the recognition is, you watch the eyes of the parents, and they're like, "My kid? You know, I didn't even finish high school How could *my kid* be a genius?" Well, they're not all geniuses, but they are geniuses in the eyes of their families only. That opens doors.

The second observation was it was even more important to work with the teachers and empower them, and, in that context, I'd like to pick up on something that Gavin just said, which is this notion of having improved the salaries of the teachers; but, most importantly, I think, improve it in those situations that are difficult. And therein lies one of the biggest issues of the system. It's not just rewarding the best teachers more; it is also understanding that the circumstances are *very*, very varying, from some schools that are great to teach at, because it's easy—well-off kids and parents that are educated—to schools where, if they didn't have food in the morning, it's really hard to teach them something. And that is much tougher.

I wish, instead of five thousand and one thousand, it had been the other way around – a thousand to everybody, and five thousand to the difficult ones. But those are arguments, right? The key is that the teacher feedback loop and competence is absolutely central to that.

Mr. Kilduff:

I wondered if San Francisco's Mayor Newsom has had a similar experience with these kinds of programs, where companies, corporations, have come in and tried to, in a way, upend, in a small way, the system....

O: W

Q: What's been your experience?

A: (Mayor Newsom) Not to the degree they need to, and, again, I think we've – You know, and Marshall, you've written a lot about this. I mean a few years ago, we were in a state of complete chaos, and our school board and our superintendents were coming and going. There was no relationship between the city and the school district. The public had just all but given up. We had a huge flight of the middle leaving our city, and family flight, and the like, and we're just starting to starting to see that turn around. And, as we're seeing it turn around, there is more and more interest, and more and more support coming from the private sector, and businesses that are saying, "You know what? I think you guys are onto something, and we want to start making investments again." We're even seeing that with foundations like the Gates Foundation. They pulled out of San Francisco a number of years ago. They had just given up. Now they're getting back in, and they're starting to see these pockets of excellence. It's all about now scaling those things, sharing best practices.

I happen to be *very* optimistic. I've been traveling all over the state of California, and, without exception, in every school district I visited, there [are] extraordinary things happening. There's just not enough of it. And [there are] horrible things happening, no doubt. But the one thing I'm starting to reconcile, the biggest issue we have right now, from my humble perspective, is the number of dropouts in our public-school system, and what that's doing to our economy, and the ability to get our arms around it. A hundred and twenty to a hundred and forty thousand (120,000 to 140,000) people, depending on how you analyze it, are dropping out. This should be among our top priorities, to focus on truancy rates, to start building partnerships.

You can't deal with dropouts and truancy as a school-district issue exclusively. It's about what's going on, to your point, in those families. It's about economically-disadvantage students, folks without health care, parents that are incarcerated, the challenges of welfare and living in public housing, and the like. But again, it goes to the issue of building capacity and partnerships and starting to take pieces of this problem and start...aggressively addressing those problems. Then you...start building that system that ultimately is transformational, as everyone up here is arguing for.

Mr. Kilduff:

I see you nodding along, Ellen. Did you want to add something to the -

A: **(Ms. Moir)** Yeah. Well, I would just agree with what Gavin is saying, and I think one of the issues that we need to really think about and...say is that we have a lot of middle-school and high-school students who can't read, so second-language learners, and kids of poverty. So you

can't just put them into a high-school biology class with a biology text and expect that the kids are going to be successful. We have to be teaching our teachers how you help young people access texts, and *all* of our teachers need to be much more prepared in teaching literacy and numeracy. These have to be at the *heart* of what teachers are doing, and we have to at least say *know* our students. Who *are* our students? Teachers have to be able to differentiate instruction for their...students, so it's really important that we tackle both. I think that preschool is sort of the jump-start, the getting kids *into* school, and then making sure we can help them get *out* of school and into college. We have to *know* those students, and we have to look at where those beacons of hope and opportunity are happening across the area, and try and scale those good ideas.

Mr. Ki

Mr. Kilduff:

Thank you. I know we wanted to leave a little bit of time for questions. Is there anyone out there who has a question for our panel?...A question for the mayor.

Q: Everyone from the legislature to the governor and our two senators rallied around protecting NUMMI through proposed tax incentives. Rather than a one-off plan for one company, what is your plan relative to taxes for keeping California...employers competitive with other states and nations?

Mayor Newsom:

Well, that's a broader question!

Mr. Kilduff:

A K-12 question if ever I saw one!

A (Mayor Newsom) K-12. Very specific. Well, actually, as you know, just in our own city—again, it gives you a sense of what I'm about—we actually are calling for a payroll-tax waiver over the next two years that basically says no payroll taxes in our city, so jump-start our local economy. We've actually used tax incentives to get biotech and life science back to its place of birth, San Francisco. Green-tech tax incentives. Film-rebate incentives. So, actually, as a guy who came from the private sector, that's created roughly a thousand jobs in California, got into public office with a private-sector perspective, recognized the need to jump-start our economy by creating incentives, streamlining regulations, and getting folks moving again.

But again, at the end of the day, we're not going to be able to compete unless we have the talent, and that's been the differentiator in the state of California – workforce. Human capital. Forty-one percent of our workforce needs to have a bachelor degree or greater by 2025. We're a million short based on current projections. This is serious business, and that's what's so destructive about the rhetoric in Sacramento. They talk the good game on tax relief and regulatory relief at the same time they're arguing to cut education, particularly higher education. There's a complete disconnect and we need to address that.

Mr. Kilduff:

Thank you. A question for Ellen. The union question, which we haven't heard up here yet.

Q: And that is, how do you deal with union resistance to changing -- reform preventing tenure, the seniority systems, mobility, offering higher pay to science and math teachers. In other words, the bureaucracy and, in some cases, the union part of that. Isn't that a big obstacle to the sort of changes you want?

A: (Ms. Moir) ... Well, let me just say that I don't really – I do not see the unions as the obstacle. I think that the... biggest obstacle is that we haven't imagined together how to transform our systems, and oftentimes, in school districts, what we see is, work starts to happen, and then, three months later, we ask the union to come in. We have to be all at the table, all the stakeholders together, to build out the kind of new structures that we want.

I personally think that education needs to dramatically overhaul its performance-management plan. We need to build solid methods for evaluating teachers and principals and superintendents simultaneously. We need to look carefully at what does it mean to get tenure? Not anyone that breathes should get tenure. We should be building out good, thoughtful systems if we're going to keep tenure, which I advocate keeping at this point. I think any reform that we need to do needs to be group done – the dynamics of talking together about the solutions, rather than imposing the solutions, or pitting us against each other.

Mr. Kilduff:

566 Thanks. A question for Aart. 567

Q: As a global company, when it comes to hiring an educated workforce, why would you hire here as opposed to any other location that might have a better-trained workforce? What makes you committed to this area?

A: (Dr. de Geus) This is a question that is life (live?) every day for all the high-tech companies, and the answer right now is typically 50 [percent] here and 50 percent at other places. It used to be higher here, but there are some very good reasons to be here, because the...top of the workforce is extraordinary. Secondly, the...entrepreneurial capability and energy, specifically in this region, is unmatched anywhere in the world, by far, and I hate it whenever I see this, "Oh, Silicon Valley is *still* the center of gravity." Forget the "still" word. We are the center of gravity. We need to behave as such, and what we are talking about is so essential, because this is actually – leave taxes and all those...other things, and even the roads, and the housing [being] too expensive. It's *always* been like that. The one thing that's really changing is the workforce quality compared to the rest of the world.

 The rest of the world is putting a *premium* on education; and, to help Ellen in her answer on...unions, we are the shareholders of the educational system. If the system is not providing what we [need], we need to put pressure on unions, on the managers, on the top managers of the

state; but we need to, first and foremost, put education on a pedestal. Our teachers are brain surgeons without incursion in the brain of our kids. You want those to be really competent. (applause)

Mr. Kilduff:

A final question for Ken.

Q: And that is, you're involved with AT&T, one of the state's largest employers. What...do you want to see? What qualities do you want to see in a graduating student who's coming to your office?

A: (Mr. McNeely) You know, one of the ...things that we've talked about with respect to the [overhauling] of education is one of the things that I think...we've not really talked about today, and that is, we...still train our kids like we're in the 1950s, the 1960s, and we live in...an era now of Facebook and [Twitter] and texting and the kids are being bombarded with information technology from all sides. Yet we haven't evolved—the educational system hasn't evolved—really, from a technological standpoint, to really challenge those kids, and harness that energy, that "today," that "now" thinking, into the classroom, and that is exactly the kind of energy and the kind of creativity that I think, as large businesses, we're going to need for the future. The jobs that our students today will be applying for don't even exist. I mean, we — You know, the kids...today are studying for jobs that don't even exist now, and so being able to create a student that's going to be able to excel in that environment really means imbuing a sense of creativity, of energy, and a perspective that doesn't exist, I think, in today's classroom.

Mr. Kilduff:

Thank you very much. Thank you all very much, on the panel, and I appreciate the audience, too. (applause)

Mr. Guardino:

What an outstanding panel and a great moderator. Marshall Kilduff of the San Francisco Chronicle, thank you for joining us. (presents Mayor Newsom with a carton of diapers)

Mayor Newsom:

And I will report this gift....

621 ###

622 /WPP

623 September 20, 21, 23, 2009